

Message

From: Benning, Brad [benning.bradley@epa.gov]
Sent: 11/14/2018 8:21:23 PM
To: Bassler, Rachel [Bassler.Rachel@epa.gov]; Faryan, Steve [faryan.steven@epa.gov]; Ribordy, Michael [ribordy.mike@epa.gov]; Borries, Samuel [borries.samuel@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: Chicago Tribune: SH Bell Follow Up Questions. DDL ASAP

A draft General Notice Letter/Info Request to SH Bell is being reviewed, for action on the 5 residences that were equal or greater than the RML of 5500ppm.

A draft Action Memorandum is being drafted.

The GNL to SH Bell is based on the air monitoring data, and the proximity of the residences with high Mn levels to the SH Bell Site.

The GNL could result in an AOC/UAO or Fund lead Removal.

The decision to address the lead problem is still pending.

There likely will be additional outreach to inform the community of the Agency's next actions.

This is the current activity, not sure what management wants to release to the press.

From: Bassler, Rachel
Sent: Wednesday, November 14, 2018 1:45 PM
To: Benning, Brad <benning.bradley@epa.gov>; Faryan, Steve <faryan.steven@epa.gov>; Ribordy, Michael <ribordy.mike@epa.gov>; Borries, Samuel <borries.samuel@epa.gov>
Subject: Chicago Tribune: SH Bell Follow Up Questions. DDL ASAP

Reporter Michael Hawthorne had the following questions about the SH Bell site. His deadline is ASAP. Could you all assist with responses?

1. Also, what comes next regarding S.H. Bell after EPA released the recent soil sampling results?
2. Is the agency attempting to match the ratio of metals in the soil to material stored at S.H. Bell, similar to what the agency did with H. Kramer in Chicago?
3. Will there be additional enforcement action beyond the air NOV's?

Thanks,
Rachel

Rachel Bassler
Press Officer
U.S. EPA Region 5
bassler.rachel@epa.gov
p: 312-886-7159
c: 312-914-3393

